

# 2008 Glenview Conservancy Survey-RESULTS

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Completed at May 28<sup>th</sup> meeting: 10

Completed by mail: 5

Total: 15

## Conservation Easements:

Do you think the City of Glenview should accept gifts of land like green spaces and common areas? **YES=15** NO=0

Comments: As long as the maintenance was not too costly or time consuming.  
Provided the funds exist to properly maintain and ensure them.  
If these spaces are to then be turned over to say River Fields?

Should the City of Glenview become a trustee, and accept Conservation Easements?

**YES=8** NO=6

Comments: The more land is conserved, the less for development.  
Absolutely not, utilize River Fields or Louisville Env. Trust that are established.

Should the City of Glenview partner with an entity like River Fields or the Louisville Environmental Trust for Conservation Easements? **YES=12** NO=3

Comments: Assuming we would agree with their position.  
Depending on the advantage involved for Glenview.  
Absolutely, either is all set up. I know River Fields to be effective.

Should the City of Glenview take no action on Conservation Easements?

YES= 1 **NO=10**

Comments: Need to have a plan for the future.  
I approve Conservation Easements in theory. What action is/would be required of the City?  
I do not understand what would be the downside.  
If that comes up it should be decided then.

Do you have property that you would consider donating to the City of Glenview, or would you consider granting a Conservation Easement? YES =4 **NO=10**

Comments: If I had property to donate, I would certainly consider it.  
Yes, if I had some.  
Possibly in the future.

No property :(

Do you favor a property tax credit for voluntary compliance with conservation measures recommended by Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources? **YES=12**  
NO=2

Comments: Know to little about it to give an opinion, attractive ideas, however.

If the money could be found to do it somewhere in the budget.

A property tax credit would tip the balance for many people, especially as the property value for selling goes down.

### **Entrance Enhancements:**

Should the City of Glenview establish voluntary standards for plantings in Glenview that encourage the use of native species, like those recommended by the KY Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources? **YES=13** NO=2

Comments: Agree in principle, but I have no specific knowledge or recommendations of KY F&W.

1: "Voluntary" anything never works. 2:I have no problem with "non-native" species.

List suggestions on website.

Do not remove healthy trees.

We all need to be thinking differently about planting. This official reminder is good.

Should the City of Glenview keep the zelkova trees on Glenview Ave between River Road and the Post Office, and replace the other trees like the water maples when they die out with zelkovas? **YES= 6** NO=5

Comments: If we have more Zelkovas and if they will form a "roof" over the road, okay to keep that.

Keep them, trees are trees and are too expensive to remove them

Not a great tree.

Replace with native trees.

I do not know anything about Zelkovas.

Sure.

When they die out, lets talk.

Maybe, necessitate a more comprehensive plan.

Should the City remove the zelkovas and introduce native species, like oak trees?  
YES= 3 **NO= 9**

Comments: As the trees begin to die or get disease remove the dead/diseases trees with a native species.

Only if the Zelkovas die.  
Only when Zelkovas die.  
That sounds like it would be costly.  
As they die replace with native species.  
Maybe, necessitates a more comprehensive plan-a subcommittee similar to the Olmstead Conservancy for parks.

I favor using Native trees, replace dying zelkovas with native trees. Use oaks that DO NOT HOLD their leaves into spring-messy to maintain

Do you think the trees on Glenview Ave between River Road and the Post Office look fine, and the City should take no action? **YES=6** NO=4

Comments: I think that to the untrained eye, they look fine, but I also think that attention to detail is important and that we should have a plan so that as things need to be replaced, they are replaced with a tree which will be beneficial for years to come.

Remove any that are due for a change.

I don't know.

I will have to take a closer look.

Just maintain it.

I think that entrance could be improved.

Should emulate what Seneca and Cherokee's original design intended by the Olmstead.

They do look fine.

Do you think the section of Woodside Road between River Road and the first driveway (the old railroad easement) should be left as is? **YES=5** **NO=6**

Comments: Not sure about that road.

Unless unsightly.

It's a mess and looks awful.

Have to take a better look.

I like the incognito feel of the street. Doesn't draw a lot of attention.

I am for keeping Woodside and Glenview as a Country feel and with gas going up we may all be riding bikes to work.

Until comprehensive plan- should discuss with direction of Olmstead.

Should some of the less desirable underbrush be removed, and the old fence?

**YES=9** NO=2

Comments: Whenever possible as long as the removal does not interfere with the homeowner's rights and opinions

Only if ample money exists and it is reasonable in costs

Have to take a better look.

Adds to the ambience.

This is voluntary growth and not a tree fence. Should be in comprehensive plan.

Should the City plant new oak trees along this section of Woodside, which would necessitate removal of some of the less desirable trees and bushes?

**YES= 6** NO=3

Comments: Same as previous question

Not until needed as trees die.

Only if the other trees are left alone.

Don't know.

Do they all have to be Oak?

### **Private Vs. Public:**

Do you think that the City should continue to plow snow and remove ice on the private roads within the City of Glenview? **YES=9** NO=3

Comments: Excellent service this year.

If the private roads are marked publicly.

Yes, please.

As often as we get snow and as long as it last I think I have to say no but I wouldn't want to be in their shoes.

What is the alternative?

This is a very needed service! I wish my private road had been plowed.

As the City is doing this job anyway, the marginal increase in cost to extend the job is not that much. Some neighbors might clear their driveways very well-others not well and not in a timely fashion. Snow would melt and re-freeze, making private and public areas a mess!

Do you think the City should continue to pay for removal of fallen trees from private roads within the City of Glenview? **YES= 6** NO=5

Comments: Unless safety is an issue.

The City in return should have a guarantee of access to roads at all times.

Only if they interfere with traffic, why are there private roads?

If possible.

On order to get Emergency equipment there.

What is the alternative?

Do you think the City should pay to patch potholes on private roads within the City of Glenview? YES= 2 **NO=11**

Comments: If associations do it.

No, unless they interfere with other traffic.

If possible.

Do you think the City should pay for mowing and landscaping common green areas along private roads? **YES= 6** **NO=6**

Comment: If associations do it.

Why don't private people take care of private roads?

Landowners should take turns to keep property looking good.

What is the alternative, should consult with Louisville Olmstead Park Conservancy.

If the common green areas are NOT PRIVATE, yes

Do you think the City should pay to resurface private roads within the City of Glenview?

YES=1 **NO=9**

Comments: Same Comments.

On a limited basis.

If possible.

Can't afford our own Roads.

What is the alternative

Would you be willing to pay increased property taxes for the maintenance of private roads within the City of Glenview? YES=1 **NO=11**

Comments: For other things, yes.

My home is the 3<sup>rd</sup> highest assessed home in Glenview- association dues not property tax.

Do you think the City of Glenview should participate in a process to dedicate private roads so that they become public City streets, maintained by the City of Glenview?

YES=5 **NO=8**

Comments: If the private road is commonly used by residents and is an important road for traffic flow and the owner of the road is willing.

Only if the expense load is shared with the private owner.

See what the cost would be.

Only if financially worthwhile, which is unlikely.

Too expensive.

Absolutely No.

Would you be willing to pay increased taxes so that private roads in Glenview become dedicated public right of way, maintained by the City of Glenview? YES=2 **NO=8**

Comments: Possibly.

Sure we'd love to donate Rockledge to Glenview.

Don't think so??

Absolutely No.

### **Harthill Estate Development:**

Do you think the City should have an official position "For" or "Against" the Harthill Development? YES= 3 **NO=9**

Comments: Only if the development directly affects Glenview in a negative manner.

Unless the effect is more severe than I think, prefer we stay out of it.

Most is outside Glenview. Contractor gave good comments last meeting which seem reasonable. No need to "rock the boat".

Plan is ok "as is" but we should monitor and take a position if plan is changed (more homes).

Only if it has an adverse effect on Glenview.

I think its already been set as to they can do.

Against- Estate lots 5-10 (lots) max.

We have to now. Yes

Do you think the City of Glenview should try and provide services to the area of the Harthill development which lies within City limits? YES=3 **NO=8**

Comments: If it remains in Glenview, but maybe it should not.

If any are taxpayers of Glenview services and those people need to be provided.

Prefer de-annexing.

Although de-annexing makes more sense.

Will they be paying equal taxes as Glenview Residents?

As long as its in the City limits. I think Steve Canfield will help preserve the beauty of Glenview.

Fenley Office Park is in Northfield and we pay tax, however receive no services. Same with many others.

No, because harthill may provide now or in the future services that the City of Glenview does not perform for its current citizens. (The City of Glenview should provide the services it must for the good of all. However, as soon as the City provides for private roads, private...then trickle of services becomes a river!)

Do you think the City of Glenview should de-annex that portion of the new development within the City of Glenview limits because of the difficulty in providing services?

**YES=13** NO=2

Comments: May be the most practical resolution.

No services

Yes, yes absolutely. The developer should take care of the entire development.

Are you participating in any effort to contest or delay the development?

YES=0 **NO=15**

Comments: I do think the City of Glenview Hills has a legitimate concern about its construction traffic.

Do you think R4 zoning that allows up to 4 homes per acre is too dense for Glenview?  
**YES= 14** NO=0

Comments: Absolutely.  
If they are 750 to 5 million, YES!  
Yes, still needs subdivision approval, etc.  
Absolutely too, too dense!

### **Glenview Area Plan:**

Would you like to serve on an advisory committee or task force established to study and make recommendations for a Glenview Area Plan? **YES=11** NO=2

Comments: Possibly.  
I serve on the Conservancy, so my opinions came through already.

Are you interested in volunteer work for the City of Glenview Government?  
**YES=7** NO=6

Comments: I would do anything I could to help.  
A joint effort for beatification of Glenview Ave.  
Short term and not too intense or if an intense issue is present.  
Possibly.  
Not at this time.

Are you glad we have a Glenview Conservancy, and that you are part of it?  
**YES=14** NO=0

Comments: Very informative, enjoyed learning the politics and the emotions surrounding Glenview.  
I have very much enjoyed it.  
Absolutely to both questions. It is needed, this Glenview Conservancy.

Are there other things you like to see the Glenview Conservancy work on?  
**YES=4** NO=3

Comments: MSD Issues, Recycling, use of pesticides, establish a walking trail or bicycle lane on some roads in the City where it may be an option..Just an idea :)

Who will work on the execution of any plan set by the City?  
Neighborhood Plan, building restrictions.  
Same.  
Is density an issue covered in the Conservancy topics?  
Are we gonna keep the post office? Yes, I'm all about wild flowers and plants etc.

### **Traffic Controls:**

Do you favor requiring motor vehicles to yield to pedestrians? **YES=10** NO=2  
Comments: But only crossing roads at intersection.

Yes, I would hope this would be the "congenial" mind set of each Glenview resident!

I think we do!

How does a City enforce this requirement? Will teenagers remember?

Are you in favor of installing #3 stones along the shoulder of Woodside Road?

**YES=12** NO=2

Comments: But they are needed more on the east side than the west side.

Both sides where necessary-will it hold up to heavy concrete, flatbed trucks?

As long as it doesn't look funny?

Driving by the areas look great in July '08

Are you in favor of installing 6" ADS pipe (French Drain) along Woodside Road?

**YES= 9** NO=3

Comments: Especially if it costs more.

Or larger. #3 washes out easily.

If it needs it-don't really know about this.

Don't know.

Driving by slowly the area looks great in July '08.

Are you in favor of maintaining existing speed bumps on the private section of Arden Road between Snow Hill Road and Woodside? YES=3 **NO=12**

Comments: It's private.

Are you in favor of constructing 12" rumble strips or asphalt curbing along the edge of Glenview Ave along the creek? **YES=7** NO=5

Comments: If necessary to avoid accidents, maybe something like the stone wall in Seneca Park where there are drop-offs but that could be costly.

12" rumble strips

No asphalt.

No speed bumps, etc.

How does this expense fill in our annual budget?

Are you in favor of reconstruction of the intersection of Glenview Ave and Orion Rd? YES=1 **NO=10**

Comments: Depends on cost

Not if its costs\$50,000.00 which we do not have.

No for sure.

See above?

Are you in favor of installing Rip Rap along the stream bank on Glenview Ave? **YES=9** NO=3

Comments: if necessary

If we must to preserve.  
If necessary.  
Unless necessary and no other better alternative exists.  
If you go the speed limit, it's really not an issue.  
Don't know what that looks like. I like our stream and as long as it looks better than concrete!

Are you in favor of maintaining the existing speed bump on Orion, or replacing it with a speed hump? YES= 2 **NO=6**

Comments: Least expensive method would be best  
Speed hump is okay.  
Either  
No speed humps!  
No speed bumps.  
Keep existing speed bumps. Experience has shown me that speed humps allow SUVs and other vehicles to fly over them.

Are you in favor of installing a round about at Orion and Round Hill Road? YES=1 **NO=12**

Comments: It is not a major thoroughfare.  
Not really, what advantages would it be?  
No, silly idea.  
I never see traffic there.

Are you in favor of adding a STOP sign on Orion at Round Hill? YES= 1 **NO=7**

Comments: Don't know area well enough  
Only if really necessary.  
Don't know  
No-we don't have that much traffic.  
See comment above

Are you in favor of speed humps on Brittany Woods Circle? YES= 2 **NO=13**

Comments: Goodness No!!  
Speed humps are flown over

Are you in favor of speed humps on Glenview? YES= 2 **NO=13**

Comments: There may be a need somewhere but definitely not on roads with little traffic.

No speed bumps or lumps.  
Speed bumps are more effective in my opinion

Do you think speed humps should never be used? **YES= 9** NO=5

Comments: There may be a need somewhere but definitely not on roads with little traffic.

Should not be used.

Never, too hard to get emergency stuff in.

Possibly

Bumps cause cars to slow down, humps allow large vehicles, SUVs and others with certain wheel bases the ability to SAIL over the humps.

Are you in favor of adding a STOP sign on Brittany Woods Circle where it forks? YES=4  
**NO=9**

Comments: Don't know anything about this, never use this road.

There is one on BWC and Glenview.

N/A

### **Garbage Collection:**

The City's Contract with RUMPKE is up for renewal in 12 months. Are you pleased with RUMPKE's service? **YES=15** NO=0

Comments: Drives too fast.

Yes, but they drive too fast! Especially in our driveway, much improvement noted.

Mostly.

I think they are more than accommodating, polite, professional and efficient.

They do a great job.

Do you think the City should continue garbage collection twice a week, even if it means an increase in the cost when the contract is renegotiated next year? **YES=8**  
NO=5

Comments: Everyone could adjust to once a week.

I think once a week would be workable for most residents.

Depends on "how much" nominal increase.

I would rather see recycling added.

I fill 5 cans 2x a week easily.

Am willing to do once, if we want to use money for something else.

We only need once a week pick up.

Are you willing to pay increased taxes to maintain twice a week garbage collection?  
YES= 5 **NO=8**

Comments: However I will if not unreasonable.

It's okay either way

Not really.

Definitely do not want to increase taxes.

Do you think garbage collection is an important City service? **YES=15** NO=0

Comments:

Would you pay increased taxes for the City to implement a recycling program? **YES=11** NO=3

Comments: I drive our recycling to the center on Hubbards Lane-it does seem a bit surprising the City doesn't have a program.

Maybe cut garbage collection to once a week and provide recycling.

Depending on where one would have to place the stuff.

Yes, I really wish we recycled.

We recycle very well on our own.

Does your household utilize the once a week yard waste collection? **YES=8** NO=7

Comments: When is it? I would probably use it.

Very important.

Didn't know about it.

Truthfully, don't know about it and didn't know we even had that service.

We recycle other ways

Do you think the City should continue once a week yard waste collection?

**YES= 10** NO=3

Comments: Could it be collected every two weeks?

Never mind- just found out about it. I suppose I do use it occasionally. I just thought the garbage guy was really nice.

N/A

Only if everyone else uses the yard waste collection to the fullest extent possible.